The Invisible Costs of Manual Workflows in Literary Agencies

Why Informal Processes Quietly Erode Value in Publishing Operations

––––

Executive Summary

Manual workflows remain the operational backbone of many literary agencies and publishing organizations. Emails, spreadsheets, shared folders, and personal notes are often treated as sufficient infrastructure for managing submissions, contracts, rights, and payments.

This whitepaper argues that manual workflows do not merely slow operations — they **systematically destroy visibility, continuity, and economic efficiency**. Their true cost is rarely measured because it appears not as a single failure, but as a constant, low-level leakage of value.

In an industry built on long timelines and cumulative decisions, these invisible costs compound over years.

––––

1. What Do We Mean by "Manual Workflow"?

Manual workflows are not defined by the absence of technology.
They are defined by the absence of **structure**.

Typical characteristics include:
- Email-based task execution
- Spreadsheet-based tracking without system logic
- Knowledge stored in individual memory
- Process continuity dependent on specific people

Crucially, manual workflows **do not fail dramatically**.
They fail *silently*.

––––

2. The Illusion of Control

Manual systems create a powerful illusion:

"We know what's going on."

This confidence is often based on:
- Familiarity with ongoing projects

- Small team sizes
- Long-term personal involvement

However, organizational research consistently shows that **subjective awareness does not scale**. Once volume, time, or complexity increases, confidence detaches from reality.

In publishing, where deals mature over months or years, this illusion is particularly dangerous.

———

3. Time Is Not the Primary Cost

The most obvious cost of manual workflows is time.
But time is only the surface symptom.

The deeper costs include:
- **Context switching**: constant mental reloading of information
- **Decision latency**: delays caused by missing or scattered data
- **Redundant work**: re-checking, re-confirming, re-explaining
- **Cognitive overload**: too many parallel threads with no hierarchy

These factors degrade decision quality long before they show up as delays.

———

4. Error Is Inevitable — and Untraceable

In manual workflows, errors are not anomalies.
They are structural outcomes.

Common examples:
- Missed follow-ups
- Incorrect contract versions
- Overlooked rights windows
- Delayed or forgotten payments

The problem is not that errors occur.
The problem is that **their origin cannot be traced**.

Without traceability:
- Errors cannot be analyzed
- Processes cannot improve
- Accountability becomes personal, not systemic

This leads organizations to treat errors as individual failures instead of design

flaws.

————

5. Institutional Memory Loss

Publishing is a long-memory business:
- Backlist value
- Historical rights decisions
- Long-term author relationships

Manual workflows systematically erase institutional memory.

When knowledge lives in:
- Individual inboxes
- Personal spreadsheets
- Unstructured notes

…it disappears when people leave, roles change, or time passes.

Organizational theorists identify this as a key vulnerability in knowledge-intensive industries: **memory decay**.

What is not recorded does not exist — at least not when it is needed.

————

6. Performance Without Measurement Is Guesswork

Manual workflows make performance evaluation nearly impossible.

Questions such as:
- Which submissions convert best?
- Where do deals stall?
- Which efforts generate long-term value?

…cannot be answered reliably without structured data.

As a result:
- Performance discussions become anecdotal
- Strategy becomes reactive
- Improvement depends on intuition rather than evidence

This is not a failure of people.
It is a failure of observability.

————

7. The Compounding Effect

Perhaps the most dangerous aspect of manual workflows is that their costs **compound over time**.

A missed data point today:
  • Weakens tomorrow's decision
  • Distorts next year's strategy
  • Undermines long-term planning

Economists describe this as *cumulative inefficiency* — small inefficiencies that grow exponentially when left unaddressed.

In publishing, where outcomes often emerge years later, this effect is amplified.

——

8. Why Manual Workflows Persist

If manual workflows are so costly, why do they survive?

Because they are:
  • Familiar
  • Cheap in the short term
  • Flexible at small scale

They also benefit from survivorship bias:

"We've always worked this way, and it worked."

What is overlooked is what *could have worked better* — or what value was never realized.

——

9. From Manual Execution to Designed Processes

Moving beyond manual workflows does not mean eliminating human judgment.

It means:
  • Designing explicit processes
  • Capturing decisions and outcomes
  • Creating continuity beyond individuals
  • Allowing systems to handle memory and repetition

Well-designed processes do not constrain creativity.

They **protect it from operational noise**.

——

10. Conclusion: The Price of Informality

Manual workflows are not neutral.
They carry a price — paid in lost visibility, weakened decisions, and unrealized value.

Because this price is invisible, it is rarely challenged.

But in an industry facing increasing complexity, global rights markets, and tighter margins, informality is no longer a harmless habit.

It is a strategic liability.

——

References & Further Reading
- Drucker, P. F. (1967). *The Effective Executive*. Harper Business.
- Simon, H. A. (1957). *Administrative Behavior*. Macmillan.
- Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The Knowledge-Creating Company*. Oxford University Press.
- McKinsey Global Institute (2017). *The Social Economy*.
- Davenport, T. H. (1998). *Putting the Enterprise into the Enterprise System*. Harvard Business Review.

——

#Corpus #Whitepaper